C(15)	0.9482 (3)	0.2275 (11)	0.8944 (6)	0.105 (3
C(16)	0.8899 (3)	0.1219 (8)	0.8330 (4)	0.082 (2
C(17)	0.7988 (3)	-0.0877(7)	0.5103 (4)	0.083 (2

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

FeC(1)	2.007 (4)	FeC(8)	2.077 (4)
FeC(2)	2.026 (4)	Fe-C(9)	2.071 (4)
Fe—C(3)	2.083 (4)	Fe-C(10)	2.017 (3)
Fe—C(4)	2.080 (4)	SiC(1)	1.879 (4)
FeC(5)	2.019 (4)	Si-C(6)	1.880 (4)
FeC(6)	2.003 (4)	Si-C(11)	1.858 (4)
FeC(7)	2.028 (4)	Si-C(17)	1.856 (5)
C(1)—Si—C(6)	96.2 (2)	C(11)—Si—C(17)	112.4 (2)
C(1)—Si—C(11)	109.3 (2)	Si—C(1)—C(2)	120.4 (3)
C(6)—Si—C(11)	111.5 (2)	Si-C(1)-C(5)	117.7 (2)
C(1)—Si—C(17)	113.3 (2)	Si-C(6)-C(7)	118.3 (3)
C(6)—Si—C(17)	113.2 (2)	Si-C(6)-C(10)	119.6 (3)

H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C—H 0.96 Å) and included in the refinement as riding atoms with a general isotropic displacement parameter which refined to 0.093 (4) $Å^2$.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989). Cell refinement: CAD-4 Software. Data reduction: XCAD-4 Software (Siemens, 1993). Program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL/PC XS (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXTL/PC XLS. Molecular graphics: SHELXTL/PC XP. Software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993).

This research was supported by NSERC Canada and the University of Toronto.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: FG1039). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Finckh, W., Tang, B. Z., Foucher, D. A., Zamble, D. Z., Ziembinski, R., Lough, A. J. & Manners, I. (1993). Organometallics, 12, 823– 829.
- Foucher, D. A., Ziembinski, R., Petersen, R., Pudelski, J., Edwards, M. E., Ni, Y., Massey, J., Jaeger, C. R., Vancso, J. G. & Manners, I. (1994). *Macromolecules*, 27, 3992–3999.
- Laing, M. B. & Trueblood, K. N. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 373–381
- Osborne, A. G. & Whiteley, R. H. (1975). J. Organomet. Chem. 101, C27-C28.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). SHELXTL/PC Users Manual. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1993). XCAD-4 Software. Program to Extract Intensity Data from the Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 Program. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Stoeckli-Evans, H., Osborne, A. G. & Whiteley, R. H. (1976). *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **59**, 2402–2406. [Note: unit-cell parameter *a* reported as 14.18 (2) should be 14.81 (2) Å.]

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 582-584

The Twinned Crystal Structure of Bis(η^6 -mesitylene)ruthenium(II) Tetrafluoroborate at 150 K

Alexander J. Blake, Paul J. Dyson, Robert O. Gould,* Brian F. G. Johnson* and Simon Parsons

Department of Chemistry, The University of Edinburgh, West Mains Road, Edinburgh EH9 3JJ, Scotland

(Received 15 July 1994; accepted 6 September 1994)

Abstract

The title compound, $[Ru(C_9H_{12})_2]2BF_4$, forms cubic crystals twinned on (110). The $[Ru(mesitylene)_2]^{2+}$ cation resides on a $\overline{3}$ special position and therefore adopts a staggered conformation.

Comment

There is considerable interest in the structures and properties of $bis(n^6$ -arene) complexes of the transition metals. Both the physical and chemical properties of these materials may be readily modified and this has led to their use as components in onedimensional charge-transfer conductors. Bis(arene) complexes typically exhibit very low energy barriers to changes in the relative orientation of the arene ligands. The conformation that these complexes adopt is therefore subject to crystal packing forces and this furnishes a potential tool for the fine tuning of their properties. Bis(benzene)ruthenium(II) dications have been employed in several one-dimensional solids (Suravajjala, Polam & Porter, 1993) and we now report the crystal structure of its close relative, $bis(\eta^6$ mesitylene)ruthenium(II) tetrafluoroborate, (1) (mesitylene is 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene), derived from a crystal twinned on (110).



In the solid state, compound (1) consists of discrete $[Ru(mesitylene)_2]^{2+}$ cations (Fig. 1) and BF₄⁻ anions, with bond distances and angles as listed in Table 2. In common with many 1:2 salts, compound (1) adopts the fluorite structure (Fig. 2) with the site symmetries of the cation and anion reduced from $m\bar{3}m$ and $\bar{43}m$ to $\bar{3}$ and 3, respectively. The cations thus adopt the same staggered conformation as in $[Ru(mesitylene)_2]^{2+}[C_6(CN)_6]^{2-}$, (2)

(Ward, 1987). The bonds from Ru to the methylated C atoms are slightly longer [2.251(5) Å] than those to the unsubstituted C atoms [2.209(5) Å] and there is no significant bond-length alternation within the rings. The deviations of atoms C(1), C(2) and C(3) from the



Fig. 1. A view of the [Ru(mesitylene)₂]²⁺ cation with the atomnumbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids enclose 50% probability surfaces.



Fig. 2. A packing diagram of (1) showing the adoption of the fluorite structure.

mean plane of the C₆ ring are -0.016(4), 0.016(4)and 0.006(7) Å, respectively. These features are also observed in compound (2) and the geometric parameters associated with the cations do not differ significantly in these two salts. The BF₄⁻ anions exhibit typical bond distances and angles.

Experimental

The title compound was prepared according to the literature method (Fischer & Boltcher, 1957) and well formed colourless octahedral crystals were grown by slow evaporation from nitromethane at room temperature.

Crystal data

$Ru(C_9H_{12})_2]2BF_4$	
$M_r = 515.06$	
Cubic	
$Pa\overline{3}$	
a = 12.636 (3) Å	
V = 2017.6 (8) Å ³	
2 = 4	
$D_x = 1.696 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	
·· · · ·	

Data collection

Stoe Stadi-4 four-circle diffractometer ω -2 θ scans with ω -half-width $(1.5 + 0.35 \tan \theta)^{\circ}$ Absorption correction: ψ scans (North, Phillips & Mathews, 1968) $T_{min} = 0.306, T_{max} =$ 0.574 2050 measured reflections

Refinement

Ru1 C1 C2 C3 F1

F2

Refinement on F^2 R(F) = 0.0412 $wR(F^2) = 0.1820$ S = 1.171599 reflections 46 parameters $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0359P)^2 + 3.2944P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 42 reflections $\theta = 15.5-16^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.850 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150.0 (2) KOctahedron $0.74 \times 0.66 \times 0.66 \text{ mm}$ Colourless

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

639 independent reflections 491 observed reflections $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_{int} = 0.128$ $\theta_{max} = 25.01^{\circ}$ $h = 0 \rightarrow 15$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 15$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 15$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 60 min intensity decay: 1.9%

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.025$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.582 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.227 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ Atomic scattering factors from *International Tables* for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

 Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$$U_{eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$$

$$x \qquad y \qquad z \qquad U_{eq}$$

$$1/2 \qquad 1/2 \qquad 1/2 \qquad 0.0169 \ (4)$$

$$0.4878 \ (4) \qquad 0.6198 \ (4) \qquad 0.6268 \ (4) \qquad 0.0284 \ (11)$$

$$0.5376 \ (4) \qquad 0.5295 \ (5) \qquad 0.6716 \ (4) \qquad 0.0296 \ (11)$$

$$0.4915 \ (4) \qquad 0.4767 \ (5) \qquad 0.7675 \ (5) \qquad 0.0401 \ (15)$$

$$0.2887 \ (4) \qquad 0.2108 \ (3) \qquad 0.3554 \ (3) \qquad 0.0725 \ (13)$$

$$0.2010 \ (2) \qquad 0.2010 \ (2) \qquad 0.2010 \ (2) \qquad 0.0382 \ (13)$$

$$0.2645 \ (5) \qquad 0.2645 \ (5) \qquad 0.030 \ (2)$$

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ru1—C1 Ru1—C2 C1—C2 ⁱ C1—C2	2.209 (5) 2.251 (5) 1.407 (8) 1.420 (9)	C2—C3 F1—B F2—B	1.500 (8) 1.368 (5) 1.390 (12)				
C2 ⁱ —C1—C2 C1 ⁱⁱ —C2—C1 C1 ⁱⁱ —C2—C3	121.9 (5) 118.0 (5) 121.4 (6)	C1—C2—C3 F1 ⁱⁱ —B—F1 F1—B—F2	120.5 (5) 109.9 (4) 109.1 (5)				
Symmetry codes: (i) $y \neq r$: (ii) $z \neq y$							

Symmetry codes: (i) y, z, x; (ii) z, x, y.

Preliminary microscopic examination of the crystals revealed that they did not transmit polarized light in any orientation, implying that they were cubic. This was confirmed on indexing a list of reflections generated by a random search of reciprocal space made on a Stoe Stadi-4 four-circle diffractometer. Data were collected at 150 K using an Oxford Cryosystems lowtemperature device (Cosier & Glazer, 1986).

Examination of the full data set, which had been corrected for Lp and absorption effects, implied a primitive unit cell in Laue group $m\bar{3}m$ with reflections in the classes hk0, h0land 0kl systematically absent only when both indices are odd. Reflections with all indices even or all indices odd tended, in addition, to be systematically strong, a symptom of pseudo-*F* centring. These observations suggested that the crystal was twinned on (110) in space group $Pa\bar{3}$, with the Ru atoms occupying positions equivalent to 0,0,0 or $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$, and common for both twin components. Thus, whereas reflections of the class hk0 are normally present for h = 2n in this space group, inclusion of the twin component, which interchanges h and k, means that this absence condition only holds when both indices are odd.

The volume of the unit cell (2017.6 Å³) implied the presence of four formula units of (1) per unit cell. Interpretation of the Patterson function was consistent with an Ru atom at $\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$ and suggested a position for a C atom to break the pseudo-symmetry. Subsequent Fourier syntheses with coefficients ($2F_o-F_c$) and (F_o-F_c) located the BF₄⁻ and remaining C-atom positions, respectively. During the initial stages of least-squares refinement the geometries of the mesitylene and tetrafluoroborate fragments were made subject to chemically reasonable restraints (bond lengths: C—C = 1.39 and 1.52, B—F = 1.4 Å) and the twin component was allowed to vary. The restraints were later removed and the twin component fixed at 0.5 after it had refined to 0.498 (5).

The H atom attached to the ring was placed in a calculated position (C—H = 0.95 Å), and allowed to ride on C(1). The positions of the methyl H atoms were derived from a difference synthesis. During refinement the C(3)—H bond lengths (0.98 Å) and H—C(3)—H angles (109.5°) were fixed but the H—C(3)—C(2)—C(1) torsion angles were allowed to vary. All H atoms were assigned an isotropic displacement parameter equal to $1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: *DIF*4 (Stoe and Cie, 1990*a*). Cell refinement: *DIF*4. Data reduction: *REDU*4 (Stoe & Cie, 1990*b*). Program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1990). Program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993). Molecular graphics: *CAMERON* (Pearce & Watkin, 1993).

We thank the SERC for provision of a four-circle diffractometer and the Univ. of Edinburgh for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, complete geometry and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1142). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Cosier, J. & Glazer, A. M. (1986). J. Appl. Cryst, 19, 105-108.
- Fischer, E. O. & Boltcher, R. (1957). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 291, 305-309.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Pearce, L. J. & Watkin, D. J. (1993). CAMERON. Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Univ. of Oxford, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M.(1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1990a). DIF4. Diffractometer Control Program. Version 7.09/DOS. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (1990b). REDU4. Data Reduction Program. Version 7.03/DOS. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Suravajjala, S., Polam, J. R. & Porter L. C. (1993). J. Organomet. Chem. 461, 201-205, and references therein.
- Ward, M. D. (1987). Organometallics, 6, 754-762.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 584-586

A Tungsten Nitrosyl Complex

STEPHEN V. EVANS, PETER LEGZDINS, STEVEN J. RETTIG, LUIS SÁNCHEZ AND JAMES TROTTER

Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada V6T 1Z1

(Received 2 May 1994; accepted 26 September 1994)

Abstract

Insertion of nitric oxide into one W—C bond of a bis(trimethylsily)tungsten complex results in the formation of a bidentate *N*-trimethylsilylmethyl-*N*nitrosohydroxylaminato ligand. The resulting complex, (η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)nitrosyl(trimethylsilylmethyl)(*N*-trimethylsilylmethyl-*N*-nitrosohydroxylaminato)tungsten, [W(NO)(C₅H₅)(C₄H₁₁N₂O₂Si)(C₄H₁₁Si)], crystallizes in space group $P\bar{1}$, Z = 4 (two molecules per asymmetric unit). The two independent molecules are almost identical and have a four-legged piano stool structure with a five-coordinate W atom.

Comment

The ligand geometry is similar to that found in $[WMe_4{ON(Me)NO_2}]$ (Fletcher, Shortland, Skapski & Wilkinson, 1972; Fletcher & Skapski, 1973).